Date Mailed June 21, 2002

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN

Investigation Into Ameritech Wisconsin's Unbundled Network Elements

6720-TI-161

ORDER REGARDING COMPLIANCE FILING

Initial Comments Due Date: Address Comments To:

Thursday, August 1, 2002 – Noon

David Albino, Administrator
Telecommunications Division

FAX Due Date: Public Service Commission

Wednesday, July 31, 2002 – Noon P.O. Box 7854

Madison, WI 53707-7854
Reply Comments Due Date: FAX (608) 266-3957

Tuesday, September 10, 2002 – Noon

FAX Due Date:

Monday, September 9, 2002 - Noon

On March 22, 2002, the Commission issued its Final Decision in this docket. Pursuant to that Final Decision, Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a Ameritech Wisconsin (Ameritech Wisconsin), made its compliance filing on May 21, 2002. The Commission hereby orders that parties submit written comments on Ameritech Wisconsin's compliance filing. Comments in response to this order shall be limited to the May 21, 2002, filing's compliance with the Final Decision. Parties shall submit an original and 15 copies of their comments as indicated in the box above. Initial comments are due by noon on Thursday, August 1, 2002. Reply comments are due by noon on Tuesday, September 10, 2002. Initial and reply comments by fax are due one day earlier. Fax filing cover sheets must state "Official Filing" and include the docket number and the number of pages (limit of 20 pages). File by one mode only.

This order for comments is not an opportunity to reargue any of the Commission's decisions, nor is it an opportunity to discuss possible implications from the recent court decisions in *Wisconsin Bell v. PSC and Worldcom*, No. 00-C-0755-C (W.D. Wis. May 15, 2002) and *United States Telecom Association v. FCC*, Nos. 00-1012 and 00-1015 (D.C. Cir. May 24, 2002). The Commission may, at a later date, request comments on the effect of those court decisions on this docket.

Docket 6720-TI-161

Ameritech Wisconsin's compliance filing for collocation did not include proposed rates, but indicated instead that the rates are "To Be Determined" (TBD). This is because of disagreement between the competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) and Ameritech Wisconsin concerning interim data exchanged pursuant to Order Point 2 of the Final Decision. Ameritech Wisconsin included a "Collocation Issues Matrix" in its compliance filing identifying inputs received in the CLECs' Collocation Cost Model (CCM) which Ameritech Wisconsin disputed.

The Commission orders that parties file comments on the issues identified in the "Collocation Issues Matrix." Comments shall address the parties' proposals regarding what adjustments shall be made to resolve the disputed issues and how the record and Final Decision support the parties' proposed adjustments. In addition, parties proposing an adjustment shall identify which collocation rates are affected by each proposed adjustment and estimate what the rates would be before and after the proposed adjustment. Parties shall also identify how the resultant rates would be reflected in tariffs.

The following is a list of other areas within the compliance filing for which the Commission seeks comments. It is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all the possible issues. The parties have greater familiarity with how all the rates and tariffs affect their particular businesses and can comment on any aspect of the compliance filing. Staff has not yet completely reviewed Ameritech Wisconsin's compliance filing for consistency with the Final Decision. Accordingly, the Commission orders specific comments on one or more of the following topics:

Joint and Common Costs

Maintenance Factor

Loop Conditioning Recurring Charges and Nonrecurring Charges

Loop Conditioning Draft Tariff Language

Loop Provisioning Including the Addition of IDLC Conversion Costs

Nonrecurring Charges and Applicability to Specific Products and Services

Manual Service Ordering Charge

Billing Development Charge

Broadband Services Recurring charges and Nonrecurring Charges

Emergency Number Services Access

Wireless Emergency Services Access

If the parties propose adjustments to the costs or draft tariff language for any of the topics above, the party proposing the adjustment shall identify which unbundled network elements (UNEs) rates would be affected; estimate what the rates would be before and after the proposed adjustment; propose modifications to draft tariffs; and address how the record and Final Decision support the party's proposed adjustments.

Docket 6720-TI-161

Ameritech Wisconsin submitted new cost studies with its compliance filing in response to Order Points 5 and 6 of the Final Decision. The cost studies propose rates for Loop Qualification and High Frequency Portion of Loop Operations Support Systems (HFPLOSS) Modification Charge. The Commission orders comments on these new cost studies and associated rates and draft tariffs. Parties may also comment on whether an additional hearing is necessary on these limited issues.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin,	
By the Commission:	
	_
Lynda L. Dorr Secretary to the Commission	

LLD: AWW:srd:reb:g:\order\pending\6720-TI-161 Request for Comments on Implementation Filing.doc